	Case 3:07-cv-05658-RBL Docume	ent 16	Filed 04/30/08	Page 1 of 3	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7	Thumbo on the promoton corner				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA				
9	AT	TACON	MA		
10	TIM MEAD,		Case No. C07-50	658RBL-KLS	
11	Plaintiff,		SECOND ORDER DIRECTING		
12	v.	SERVICE BY UNITED STATES MARSHAL AND PROCEDURES			
13	MICHELLE KLEPPS, et al,		WI MOIII LE I II VE	TROCEDORES	
14	Defendants.				
15					
16	This civil rights action has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to				
17	Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). Plaintiff has been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis.				
18	On February 27, 2008, the Court ordered service by the United States Marshal with respect to				
19	each of the named defendants in this case. (Dkt. #7). In late March 2008, however, two service forms –				
20	one for defendant Michael Hopkins and the other for defendant Sandra Carter – were returned unexecuted				
21	due to incorrect addresses for those defendants. (Dkt. #8-#9). On April 16, 2008, the Clerk received two				
22	new service forms with updated addresses for defendants Hopkins and Carter.				
23	Accordingly, the Clerk is directed to effect service on those two defendants using the new service				
24	forms as provided below:				
25	(1) Service by United States Marshal.				
26	It is hereby ORDERED that the United States Marshal shall send the following to defendant				
27	Hopkins and defendant Carter by first class mail: a copy of the complaint (Dkt. #5) and of this Order, two				
28	copies of the Notice of Lawsuit and Request for Waiver of Service of Summons, a Waiver of service of				

ORDER Page - 1 Summons, and a return envelope, postage prepaid, addressed to the Clerk's Office. All costs of service

shall be advanced by the United States. The Clerk shall assemble the necessary documents to effect

2 3

1

4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

25

24

27 28

26

service.

(2) Response Required

Defendants shall have thirty (30) days within which to return the enclosed Waiver of Service of Summons. Each defendant who timely returns the signed Waiver shall have sixty (60) days after the date designated on the Notice of Lawsuit to file and serve an answer or a motion directed to the complaint, as permitted by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("Fed. R. Civ. P.") 12.

Any defendant who fails to timely return the signed Waiver will be personally served with a summons and complaint, and may be required to pay the full costs of such service, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d)(2). A defendant who has been personally served shall file an answer or motion permitted under Rule 12 within thirty (30) days after service.

(3) Filing and Service by Parties, Generally.

All original documents and papers submitted for consideration by the Court in this case, and a duplicate of all such papers, are to be filed with the Clerk of this Court. The originals and copies of all such papers shall indicate in the upper right-hand corner the name of the Magistrate Judge to whom the copies are to be delivered. The papers shall be accompanied by proof that such documents have been served upon counsel for the opposing party (or upon any party acting pro se). The proof shall show the day and manner of service and may be written acknowledgment of service, by certificate of a member of the bar of this Court, or by affidavit of the person who served the papers.

(4) Motions.

Any request for Court action shall be set forth in a motion, properly filed and served, pursuant to the requirements of Local Rule CR 7. If a party fails to file and serve timely opposition to a motion, the Court may deem any opposition to be without merit.

Motions for Summary Judgment (5)

If one of the parties files a motion for summary judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56, the opposing party should acquaint him/herself with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 requires a nonmoving party to submit affidavits or other evidence in opposition to a motion for summary judgment

Case 3:07-cv-05658-RBL Document 16 Filed 04/30/08 Page 3 of 3

if the moving party has shown the absence of issues of material fact and an entitlement to judgment as a					
matter of law. A nonmoving party may not rest upon the mere allegations or denials of prior pleadings.					
Rather, successful opposition to a motion for summary judgment requires the nonmoving party to set					
forth, through affidavits or other evidence, specific facts showing a genuine issue for trial. Failure by the					
nonmoving party to oppose a summary judgment motion or to present counter evidence could result in the					
Court accepting the moving party's evidence as the truth, and entering final judgment in favor of the					
moving party without a full trial. Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520 (9th Cir. 1997).					
(6) <u>Direct Communications with District Judge or Magistrate Judge</u>					

No direct communication is to take place with the District Judge or Magistrate Judge with regard to this case. All relevant information and papers are to be directed to the Clerk.

(7) <u>Clerk's Action</u>

The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order and of the General Order issued by the Magistrate Judges to plaintiff and to defendants and defendants' counsel, if any.

DATED this 30th day of April, 2008.

Karen L. Strombom

United States Magistrate Judge